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Abstract

Flame retardants are a key element of the safety of many products of daily life and in the workplace environment. Many plastics, textiles and natural materials are quite flammable and burn well. In a number of application areas this fire risk has to be reduced by measures like the use of flame retardants – the E&E sector being one of the most prominent areas. However, there are concerns about the environmental and health properties of some flame retardants, in particular brominated systems. The European WEEE and RoHS directives have responded to these concerns and declared the phase out of PBBs (polybrominated biphenyls) and PBDEs (polybrominated diphenylethers) as well demanding the separation of plastics containing brominated flame retardants before further recycling operations. In expectation of these directives and the growing pressure on halogenated flame retardants, the flame retardants market has responded with an increasing demand for non-halogenated flame retardants. Phosphorus and nitrogen based as well as mineral flame retardants have experienced above average growth rates over the last years. Material recycling of flame retarded plastics is usually technically feasible – the major problem is how to obtain a continuous supply of input material which is well defined in its composition. Otherwise, only feedstock recycling or energy recovery are sensible options.
A way to assess the environmental profile of flame retardants is to examine the release of the flame retardant and its degradation products over key stages of the life cycle of plastics like the processing by extrusion, the use phase, accidental fires, incineration and end-of-life disposal. These tests were carried out with a new class of phosphinate based flame retardants and compared to currently used brominated systems in polyamide (PA) 6, polyamide 6.6, high temperature nylon (HTN) and polybutylene terephthalate (PBT). The methodology presented can be applied to other flame retardants and plastics additives in order to evaluate the environmental profile of these products, especially within the context of upcoming European chemicals regulations (REACH).

For further information see:

www.flameretardants-online.com
www.exolit.com
www.cefic-efra.com
Flame Retardants: Design for Environment and End-of-Life - is there a life after WEEE, RoHS and REACH?

Adrian Beard
Clariant GmbH, Hüth-Knapsack

Outline

- Issues and concerns about flame retardants
- Flame retardants in WEEE, RoHS and other legislation
- Recycling options for plastics containing flame retardants
- Measuring the environmental performance of flame retardants by eco-profiles
- The trend towards halogen-free flame retardants
Fire Casualties and Damage (Europe 25)

- About 15 casualties in Europe per day
  - ~75% of victims in private homes
  - Intoxication by smoke is main cause of death
- Costs of 30 billion EUR per annum
  - ~0.3% of gross domestic product

Trends in fire safety requirements

- International standards (ISO, IEC, CEN)
- Sophisticated fire test methods
  - From fail / pass towards numerical data: RHR, FIGRA, MAHRE, ...
  - Example: EN 45545 railway rolling stock
- Audio-/Video Equipment: External ignition
- Europe: enhanced producer liability and de-regulation
  - Harmonized Standards – „New Approach“
  - General Product Safety Directive
  - Construction Products Directive
  - Low Voltage Directive
Concerns against flame retardants

- Concerns voiced in Europe on environmental impact, fate and toxicology of certain flame retardants
- Studies and publications on FRs presented in Germany, Sweden, Denmark, the UK and Switzerland
- Topics: Persistence, Bioaccumulation, Toxicity (PBT)
- FRs found in environment, biota and indoor air

The Variety of Flame Retardants

- Diversity in terms of physical / chemical properties, environmental fate, toxicology, and regulatory status
WEEE + RoHS Directives

- European Directives on
  - waste electric and electronic equipment (2002/96/EC)
  - restriction of hazardous substances in E&E (2002/95/EC)
  - published Feb-2003
- ban of polybrominated biphenyls and penta-BDE and octa-BDE as of August 2004
  - 2003/11/EC
- deca-BDE has been exempted from RoHS
- separation requirement of plastics containing brominated flame retardants

EU Risk Assessments – status of FRs for E+E

- **Deca-BDE**: Completed May 2004: No risks identified for human health or environment. Industry continuing long term studies and product stewardship initiative
- **HBCD**: Additional degradation studies in progress – completion 2006
- **TBBPA**: Human Health completed - No risk identified. Further studies in progress for Environmental – Completion early 2006
EU Risik Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Rapporteur</th>
<th>Priority List no. (year)</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-chain Chlorinated Paraffins</td>
<td>SCCP</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1 (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-chain Chlorinated Paraffins</td>
<td>MCCP</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>3 (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentabromodiphenyl ether</td>
<td>PBDE</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2 (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octabromodiphenyl ether</td>
<td>OBDE</td>
<td>UK/France</td>
<td>1 (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decabromodiphenyl ether</td>
<td>DBDE</td>
<td>UK/France</td>
<td>1 (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate</td>
<td>TCEP</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2 (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris(1,3-dichloroisopropyl)phosphate</td>
<td>TDCPP</td>
<td>Eire/UK</td>
<td>4 (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bis(bis(2-chloroethyl)phosphate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- PEC = Predicted Environmental Concentration
- PNEC = Predicted No Effect Concentration
- MOS = Margin of Safety
- http://ecb.jrc.it/existing-chemicals/

REACH – New European Chemicals Regulation (as of 2006 ?)

- under discussion:
  - substitution principal ("safer" chemicals)
  - authorisation based on “priority lists” as well as volumes?
  - chemicals in "articles" – WTO issues
  - existing risk assessments?
- ecoprofile will help:
  - chemical safety assessment
  - exposure scenarios

http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/chemicals/reach.htm
http://europa.eu.int/comm/enterprise/reach/
http://www.cefic.org/ [REACH in the left menu]
Ecolabels and Green procurement

- various national schemes
  - since the late 1970ies, e.g.
- Blue Angel in Germany:
  - restricts halogenated FRs in a number of products, some exceptions for parts < 25 g and recycling
- EU Flower
  - uses risk phrases from classification of chemicals
  - only few FRs are explicitly blacklisted (e.g. PBDEs)

TCO Development
- wide-spread acceptance in the business electronics sector
- restricts halogenated FRs
- manufacturers have to submit environmental and tox data

Current EOL situation for WEEE plastics

- Printed Circuit Boards go to copper smelters (or China, India)
- Largest volume goes to landfill
- 10% to incineration for energy recovery
- After dismantling, still 60% to landfill
- Hardly any feedstock recycling or re-use is taking place
- Mechanical recycling mainly for closed loop
Mechanical Recycling of FR Polymers

- Mechanical recycling requires separation of different …
  - types of plastics
  - colours
  - flame retardants and other additives;
- variety of techniques under commercial development:
  - Cost?
  - Robustness? Easy to handle?
- Stability of flame retardants favours recycling without loss of physical properties

New flame retardants based on organic phosphinic acid salts

- especially effective in polyamides and polyesters
- synergism with selected nitrogen containing organic substances
  - Exolit® OP

![Structure of phosphinic acid salts](image)
Mechanical Recycling of Flame Retardants

- Example: Exolit OP (phosphinate) and brominated FR in Polyamide 66, glass fiber reinforced
- Test procedure
  - Injection molding of compounds (1. pass)
  - Grinding of the test bars
  - Mix 50% of grinded material with 50 % of neat compound
  - Injection molding
  - etc.
- Comparison of 1st, 3rd and 6th pass

Mechanical Recycling PA

- UL 94 Performance at 0.8 mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PA 66 GF</th>
<th>OP 1312</th>
<th>brom. PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st pass</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>V-0</td>
<td>V-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd pass</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>V-0</td>
<td>V-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th pass</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>V-0</td>
<td>V-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Total afterburning time
Mechanical Recycling PA

- Stability of Polymer melt during injection molding (Internal test)
  - g of Polymer melt flowing out of the die

![Graph showing the stability of Polymer melt during injection molding for different materials.]

FRs and Mechanical Recycling

- Main conclusion of various extrusion and injection molding tests: Majority of the mechanical properties were maintained during 3 or 5 recycle steps and also fulfilling the German chemical banning ordinance.
- These plastics can be recycled for same applications due to maintenance of physical properties of polymer and flame retardant (e.g. UL tests V-0 and 5VB).
- Main issue is historical plastics containing Penta- and OctaBDE plus PBBs which needs to be sorted out. Also for these old plastics the issue of dioxin/furans related to the German chemical banning ordinance can be difficult to meet.
E&E plastic waste: current trends and possible options

- Other than mechanical recycling:
  - Metal smelters (mainly PWBoards)
  - Incineration co-combustion
  - Landfill
  - Cement kilns – fuel replacement

- New possible options
  - Feedstock recycling: Haloclean etc.
  - Dehalogenation with blast furnaces
  - Creosolv, solvolysis, super critical CO₂ extraction
  - Smelter (copper and precious) as reducing agent as during the Umicore trial with 250 ton WEEE plastic

Available technical solutions for WEEE Plastics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process-installation</th>
<th>Definition: Energy Recovery/material recycling or disposal</th>
<th>Cost Euro/ton</th>
<th>Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical recycling</td>
<td>Material recycling</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Sorting, quality, economics, end market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedstock recycling (FS)</td>
<td>Material recycling / Energy Recovery</td>
<td>150-400</td>
<td>Economics, reliability new technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS: Dehalogenation</td>
<td>Material recycling</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Economics, new technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS: Haloclean</td>
<td>Material recycling</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Pilot scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvolysis process</td>
<td>Material recycling</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Critical Water Oxidation</td>
<td>Material recycling</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Economics, pilot scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal smelter</td>
<td>Energy recovery with material recycling (metals)</td>
<td>Low?</td>
<td>Definition of energy recovery/ type of smelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement kiln-fuel replacement</td>
<td>Energy recovery or after EU hearing material recycling as option</td>
<td>Low?</td>
<td>Corrosion / energy recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics with BFR’s as additive</td>
<td>Energy recovery or after EU hearing material recycling as option</td>
<td>Low?</td>
<td>Definition of energy recovery?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House hold waste incinerators co-combustion</td>
<td>Energy recovery</td>
<td>50-130</td>
<td>Political acceptance, max 3% WEEE plastics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landfill</td>
<td>Disposal</td>
<td>50-130</td>
<td>Politically not accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From: "What are processing alternatives and market opportunities for mixed plastic fractions?" L.Tange, D.Drothmann, Presentation to workshop Where are "WEEE" going? October 2004 Antwerp
EcoProfile of Exolit flame retardants including the life cycle of polymers

Flame Retardants in Product Life Cycles

- Concerns because of potential environmental effects of flame retardants
- Halogenated flame retardants have been in the focus of public scrutiny
- Alternatives to halogenated flame retardants have to prove their environmental benefits
- Market introduction of phosphinates as a new class of flame retardants for polyamides and polyesters by Clariant
- This study compares these new flame retardants with currently used brominated systems in polyamide (PA) 6, polyamide 6.6, high temperature nylon (HTN) and polybutylene terephthalate (PBT)

Extrusion – emissions to gas phase

Total Organic Carbon (FID-C) mg / kg compound

- all samples (incl. non-FR) do release organic substances to air
- no apparent dependence on polymer type and throughput

* = limit of detection for this sample
nv = no value, not measured
EcoProfile of Exolit flame retardants including the life cycle of polymers

Migration into water – Phosphorus and Bromine

- some Exolit can be released, as seen by P results: PA 6 > PA 6.6 > HTN > PBT
- Bromine (FRs) can be released from brominated FRs in Polyamide, detergents increase the release
- Bromine measurements were done from granules, P from bars, therefore release rates are not directly comparable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>Organic Carbon</th>
<th>HBr</th>
<th>Cyanide</th>
<th>Phosphorus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA 6</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA 6.6</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBT</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by their very nature, adding flame retardants to polymers causes an impeded combustion, seen by the low CO2 / CO ratio, the production of low molecular weight organic substances and the increased release of HCN by polyamides

Nota bene: the DIN-oven scenario which was used is a forced thermal degradation and combustion where FRs cannot act in their usual role of preventing or slowing the fire
Conclusions

- The environmental behaviour of Exolit OP 930 / 1230 is characterised by:
  - the flame retardant itself is non-toxic, does not bioaccumulate (Clariant data)
  - no release of volatiles from finished products
  - some release of flame retardant in contact with water (based on salt nature of Exolit)
  - lower smoke toxicity in case of accidental fire compared to brominated flame retardants

New halogen free raw materials

- Halogen free FRs for engineering thermoplastics and thermosets
  - Clariant Exolit OP range
  - Chemtura (former Great Lakes CC)
  - Supresta (former Akzo Nobel)
  - Inorganic fillers: Martinswerke, Nabaltec, ...

- New halogen free FR resins
  - Huntsman
  - Dow
  - Bakelite
  - Tohto Kasei
  - Nan Ya
  - Japan Epoxy Resin
  - ...
Halogen free capacities are expanding

- Matsushita Electric Works will double its halogen-free production from 10 to 20% in 2006.
- Tohto Kasei (Japan) increasing its capacity of halogen-free epoxy resin (phosphorus type) for CCL to 3 000 tons per year
- Park Electrochemical Corp.
  - introduction of its new N4000-7 EF halogen-free, 165 degrees C Tg substrate
  - lead-free compatible, excellent thermal and moisture resistance
  - CAF resistance and UL 94 V-0
  - exceeds the electrical attributes of a brominated FR-4 system

Halogen free FRs: perspectives

- “Halogen free” is no longer simply a marketing term, it has become a market.
- Halogen free PWB should represent a market share of 3-4 % in 2005 vs. 0 % in 2001 (Clariant estimate)
- Further growth driven by market demand
- End market: Consumer electronics
  - Possibly automotive applications, too
Requirements for Flame Retardants

- compatibility with polymer and processing
- flame retardant
- toxicology and environment, recycling
- fire safety
- cost

Conclusions

- Flame retardants are important for achieving fire safety in E&E products
- There are several recycling options for FR-plastics, however, challenges remain:
  - Technology
  - Logistics
  - Economics / costs
- There is a growing demand for non-halogenated flame retardants in E&E
  - Proven environmental profile
  - Existing systems are improved
  - New flame retardants developed